X	
	EVZLEKN DIZLKICL OF NEW YORK
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

VALARIE JAMES, mother,

DEVANTE JAMES, a minor under the age of 18 years, by

17 CA. 0759 (CBA)(VVP) FCF CASE AMENDED COMPLAINT

PLAINTIFF,

-TSNIADA-

POLICE OFFICER ATES, DETECTIVE CHRISTOPHER individually, and in their capacity as members of the New York City Police Department.

as this Court deems just and proper.

DEFENDANTS.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. This is a civil action in which plaintiff, Ms. Valarie James ("Ms. James"), on behalf of Devante James ("Devante"), seeks relief for the violation of Devante's rights secured by 42 USC 1983, the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.
- 2. The claim arises from an incident that occurred on or about October 13, 2011, in which officers of the New York City Police Department acting under color of state law, intentionally and willfully subjected Devante to inter alia, false arrest and excessive force.
- 3. Plaintiff seeks monetary damages (special, compensatory, and punitive) against defendants and an award of costs and attorneys' fees, and such other and further relief

JURISDICTION

- 4. This action is brought pursuant to the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the
- United States Constitution.

 5. Venue is laid within the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York in that the events giving rise to the claim occurred within the boundaries of the
- Eastern District of New York.

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff Ms. James is the mother of Devante.
- 7. Ms. James and Devante are both United States citizens and at all times here relevant
- resided at 1055 Myrtle Avenue, Apartment 2D, Brooklyn, NY 11206.

 8. Police Officer Ates, shield number 3360, ("PO Ates"), Detective Christopher Carlin,
- tax identification number 920093, ("Det. Carlin"), and Sergeant Michael Lennihan, tax identification number 920093, ("Sgt. Lennihan"), at all times here relevant were members of the New York City Police Department, and are sued in their individual
- and professional capacities.

 9. At all times mentioned, defendants were acting under color of state law, under color of the statutes, ordinances, regulations, policies and customs and usages of the City of
- New York.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. Devante is 15 years old.

- ("Shatifa") and her fiancé, Romeo Brown ("Romeo") at their home at 185 Wortman 11. On or about October 13, 2011, Devante was visiting his sister, Shatifa Weaver
- Avenue, East New York, Brooklyn, New York.
- 12. At approximately 8:40 pm, Shatifa and Romeo walked Devante to his bus stop, from
- 13. When they got to the point that they could see the bus stop, Devante said goodbye to where Devante was going to catch a bus home.
- 14. When Devante got to the other side of the road an unmarked police car with tinted Shatifa and Romeo and crossed the road to get the bus.
- windows pulled up beside him.
- 15. None of the people in the car identified themselves to Devante as police officers and
- 16. Upon information and belief Det. Carlin shouted to Devante to come over to the car. none were wearing police uniforms.
- 17. Devante was wary of the strangers in the unmarked car so ignored them and
- 18. Upon information and belief PO Ates and Det. Carlin then jumped out of the car and continued to walk towards the bus stop.
- 19. Upon information and belief at no point did PO Ates or Det. Carlin identify started to run at Devante.
- themselves as police officers.
- 21. Upon information and belief PO Ates and Det. Carlin chased Devante and tackled Romeo.

20. Devante was extremely scared and ran back across the road towards Shatifa and

him into a store front.

- 22. Devante suffered cuts, scrapes and bruising to his arms, legs and torso as a result of
- being tackled.
- 23. Upon information and belief as PO Ates and Det. Carlin tackled Devante they
- 24. Upon information and belief PO Ates and Det. Carlin then threw Devante facedown knocked over a female bystander.
- onto the ground and sat on top of Devante.
- 25. Upon information and belief Shatifa shouted at PO Ates and Det. Carlin to let
- 26. Upon information and belief PO Ates and Det. Carlin told Shatifa that they were Devante go.
- 27. Upon information and belief Shatifa told PO Ates and Det. Carlin that Devante was police officers and to mind her own business.
- 28. Upon information and belief PO Ates and Det. Carlin ignored Shatifa. her brother and had been visiting her, and that he hadn't done anything wrong.
- 29. Upon information and belief PO Ates and Det. Carlin handcuffed Devante behind his
- back and led him to their unmarked police car.

30. PO Ates, Det. Carlin and Sgt. Lennihan took Devante to the 75th Precinct.

- 31. At the precinct Devante was handcuffed to a pole in a holding room.
- 32. Ms. James arrived at the precinct and asked to see Devante.
- 33. Upon information and belief Det. Carlin was abusive towards Ms. James and
- 34. PO Ates then told Ms. James that if Devante hadn't run away from them and had threatened to send Devante to Stafford Correctional Facility in the Bronx.
- and disorderly conduct.

shown them identification then they wouldn't have arrested him for resisting arrest

- 35. Ms. James pointed out to PO Ates that Devante only ran away from them because
- they didn't identify themselves as police officers.
- 36. PO Ates then gave Ms. James a different version of events.
- 37. PO Ates told Ms. James that Devante had been with another boy who had run away,
- and that Devante looked like a boy who the police had a bench warrant for.
- 38. At no point during the incident was Devante accompanied by anyone other than
- Shatifa and Romeo.
- $39.\ \ensuremath{\mathrm{Upon}}$ information and belief, Devante did not bear any resemblance to the person
- referred to by PO Ates.
- 40. At approximately 12:00 am on October 14, 2011, Devante was released from the
- precinct.
- 41. Devante had to attend Kings County Family Court on October 17, 2011 and again on
- November 16, 2011.
- 42. The New York City Law Department Family Court Division declined to file a
- petition against Devante.
- 43. Devante continues to feel traumatized by the events of October 13, 2011, and is wary
- and fearful when he sees NYPD officers. Devante takes efforts to avoid police
- officers when in public.
- 44. As a result of the incident, Devante suffered cuts, scrapes and bruising all over his
- arms, legs and torso.
- 45. Devante feels fear, anxiety, emotional distress, frustration, embarrassment,
- humiliation and loss of liberty as a result of the incident.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(42 USC 1983 – False Arrest)

- 46. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each of the preceding allegations of this Complaint as if
- fully set forth herein.
- 47. Defendants have deprived Devante of his civil, constitutional and statutory rights

48. Defendants have deprived Devante of his right to be free of unreasonable searches

- under color of law and are liable to plaintiff under 42 USC 1983.
- and seizures, pursuant to the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States
- I (
- Constitution, in that Devante was falsely arrested by defendants.
- 49. Defendants unreasonably and unjustifiably confined Devante.
- 50. Devante was aware of, and did not consent to, his confinement.
- 51. The confinement was not privileged.
- 52. Plaintiff has been damaged a result of defendants' actions in an amount believed to
- equal or exceed \$250,000.00

SECOND CYNSE OF ACTION

(42 USC 1983 – Excessive Force)

- 53. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each of the preceding allegations of this Complaint as if
- fully set forth herein.
- 54. Defendants have deprived Devante of his civil, constitutional and statutory rights
- under color of law and are liable to plaintiff under 42 USC 1983.
- 55. Defendants have deprived Devante of his right to be free of unreasonable searches
- and seizures, pursuant to the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States

Constitution, in that defendants used excessive and unreasonable force in effecting

the arrest of Devante.

56. Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of defendants' actions in an amount believed to

equal or exceed \$250,000.00.

JURY DEMAND

57. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff respectfully requests that the court enter a Judgment against defendants

together with costs and disbursements as follows:

In favor of plaintiff in an amount to be determined by a jury, but at least equal or

exceeding \$250,000.00, for each of plaintiff's causes of action;

Awarding plaintiff punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a jury;

Awarding plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this

action;

And such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

August 14, 2012 New York, New York

Dated:

ustin Delle Cave (JD)(0896)

(212) 240-9075New York, NY 10279 233 Broadway, Suite 1800 Attorney for Plaintiff PetersonDelleCave LLP